

unforeseen surprises are feared from having to change date field lengths.

Some organizations, already worrying whether they can adapt before 2000, have less time than they realize. For example, a "99" in a year field may not represent a year but instead be a flag. Or information may be entered now that pertains to the next century (a loan today may have an end date in the next century, which would cause the program to reject the information with the message that a loan cannot end before it begins. This has actually happened.)

So in addition to ease of conversion and high confidence level, my suggested approach (because ease equals speed) may allow deadlines to be met that are more stringent than some organizations realize.

I have spent considerable time trying to think of valid counter arguments to the above proposal, and those I have come up with have all been weak. The most valid of the lot is that organizations might be required to furnish other organizations with files that contain four-digit year fields. But I cannot imagine an easier programming job than accepting as input a record with a two-position year field(s) and producing an output record with additional characters "19" or "20" added where appropriate.

To summarize, it seems the world is bent on squandering untold billions for no valid reason. ♦

#### About the Author

**Peter Errington** has spent his entire career in data processing, starting in



1961. He retired in 1996, having worked for three private firms and two government agencies. From 1961 to 1971, he was employed at the Southern New

England Telephone Co., General Electric, and Informatics. In 1971, he joined the Agency for International Development, where he specialized in payroll and personnel systems and economic and social data banks. In 1989, he moved to the Defense Logistics Agency, where, among other things, he worked extensively on Continuous Acquisition and Lifecycle Support and warehouse automation.

3809 Archer Place  
Kensington, MD 20895  
Voice: 301-946-7232  
Fax: 703-767-6564  
E-mail C/O: bette\_hill@hq.dla.mil

## Year 2000 PROGRESS

### Mission-Critical Systems of Federal Departments and Agencies

	Assessment Completed	Renovation Completed	Implementation Completed	Any Rating	
<b>SSA</b> Social Security Administration	YES	78%	67%	YES	A-
<b>GSA</b> General Services Administration	YES	35%	26%	YES	B
<b>NSF</b> National Science Foundation	YES	33%	25%	NO	B
<b>SBA</b> Small Business Administration	YES	35%	35%	YES	B
<b>HHS</b> Department of Health and Human Services	YES	28%	10%	YES	B-
<b>EPA</b> Environmental Protection Agency	NO	33%	28%	YES	C
<b>FEMA</b> Federal Emergency Management Agency	NO	35%	35%	YES	C
<b>HUD</b> Department of Housing and Urban Development	YES	9%	2%	YES	C
<b>Interior</b> Department of the Interior	YES	43%	0%	NO	C
<b>Labor</b> Department of Labor	YES	15%	11%	YES	C
<b>State</b> Department of State	YES	25%	0%	NO	C
<b>VA</b> Department of Veterans Affairs	NO	51%	28%	YES	C
<b>DOD</b> Department of Defense	NO	40%	34%	YES	C-
<b>Commerce</b> Department of Commerce	NO	15%	6%	YES	D
<b>DOE</b> Department of Energy	NO	10%	10%	YES	D
<b>Justice</b> Department of Justice	YES	1%	1%	NO	D
<b>NRC</b> Nuclear Regulatory Commission	YES	0%	0%	NO	D
<b>OPM</b> Office of Personnel Management	YES	3%	0%	NO	D
<b>Agriculture</b> Department of Agriculture	NO	8%	4%	YES	D-
<b>NASA</b> National Aeronautics and Space Administration	NO	8%	7%	YES	D-
<b>Treasury</b> Department of the Treasury	NO	6%	5%	YES	D-
<b>AID</b> Agency for International Development	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	F
<b>DOT</b> Department of Transportation	NO	0%	0%	NO	F
<b>Education</b> Department of Education	NO	0%	0%	NO	F

Prepared for Subcommittee Chairman Steven Horn.  
The departments and agencies are responsible for the accuracy and consistency of percentages reported.  
Created: Sep. 15, 1997  
Revised: N/A  
Subcommittee on Government Management, Information, and Technology  
Source: <http://www.house.gov/reform/y2k/970915score.htm>